

LMF Figures 2012-2013

Table of Contents

Figure 1. Percent of bare ground (80% confidence interval) on BLM rangelands within ecoregions.	3
Figure 2. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 20% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.....	4
Figure 3. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 30% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.	5
Figure 4. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 40% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.	6
Figure 5. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 50% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.	7
Figure 6. Percent of BLM rangelands where soil aggregate stability is ranked 4 or less (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.	8
Figure 7. Percent of BLM rangelands where at least 20% of the land has canopy gaps of at least 2 meters (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions..	9
Figure 8. Percent of BLM rangelands with presence of non-native invasive plant species (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.....	10
Figure 9. Percent of BLM rangelands with abundant non-native invasive plant species (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.....	11
Figure 10. Percent of BLM rangelands where biotic integrity shows moderate departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions..	12
Figure 11. Percent of BLM rangelands where biotic integrity shows moderate to extreme or extreme to total departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions	13
Figure 12. Percent of BLM rangelands where hydrologic function shows moderate departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.....	14
Figure 13. Percent of BLM rangelands where hydrologic function shows moderate to extreme or extreme to total departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.	15
Figure 14. Percent of BLM rangelands where soil/site stability shows moderate departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions....	16
Figure 15. Percent of BLM rangelands where soil/site stability shows moderate to extreme or extreme to total departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.....	17

Percent of Bare Ground on BLM Rangeland




Figure 1. Percent of bare ground (80% confidence interval) on BLM rangelands within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland with at Least 20% Bare Ground




Figure 2. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 20% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland with at Least 30% Bare Ground




Figure 3. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 30% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland with at Least 40% Bare Ground




Figure 4. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 40% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland with at Least 50% Bare Ground




Figure 5. Percent of BLM rangelands with at least 50% bare ground (80% confidence intervals) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Soil Aggregate Stability is Ranked 4 or Less




Figure 6. Percent of BLM rangelands where soil aggregate stability is ranked 4 or less (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where at Least 20 percent of the Land Has Canopy Gaps of at Least 2 Meters




Figure 7. Percent of BLM rangelands where at least 20% of the land has canopy gaps of at least 2 meters (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland with Presence of Non-Native Invasive Plant Species




Figure 8. Percent of BLM rangelands with presence of non-native invasive plant species (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland with Abundant Non-Native Invasive Plant Species




Figure 9. Percent of BLM rangelands with abundant non-native invasive plant species (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Biotic Integrity Shows Moderate Departure from Reference Conditions




Figure 10. Percent of BLM rangelands where biotic integrity shows moderate departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Biotic Integrity Shows Moderate to Extreme or Extreme to Total Departure from Reference Conditions




Figure 11. Percent of BLM rangelands where biotic integrity shows moderate to extreme or extreme to total departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Hydrologic Function Shows Moderate Departure from Reference Conditions




Figure 12. Percent of BLM rangelands where hydrologic function shows moderate departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Hydrologic Function Shows Moderate to Extreme or Extreme to Total Departure from Reference Conditions




Figure 13. Percent of BLM rangelands where hydrologic function shows moderate to extreme or extreme to total departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Soil/Site Stability Shows Moderate Departure from Reference Conditions




Figure 14. Percent of BLM rangelands where soil/site stability shows moderate departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.

BLM Rangeland Where Soil/Site Stability Shows Moderate to Extreme or Extreme to Total Departure from Reference Conditions




Figure 15. Percent of BLM rangelands where soil/site stability shows moderate to extreme or extreme to total departure from reference conditions (80% confidence interval) within ecoregions.